

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

99999

শিক্তা প্রমূচী licants: Kenneth Charles Boydstun et al. §

Serial No.: 10/075,336

Filed: February 13, 2002

For: METHOD AND SOFTWARE FOR MIGRATING §

PROTECTED AUTHENTICATION DATA

Group Art Unit: 3685

Examiner: Sherr, Cristina O.

Confirmation No.: 8714

Mail Stop: Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated May 19, 2011 in the aboveidentified patent application, Applicants respectfully submit the following comments on the reasons for allowance.

Applicants agree that none of the art of record, either alone or in combination, teaches, suggests, discloses or makes obvious any of the allowed claims. Although certain features of the pending claims were discussed on 3 of the *Reasons for Allowance*, Applicants note that other features of the claims may further distinguish the claims over the art of record. Applicants understand that the *Reasons for Allowance* and the conclusion of allowability of the claims relate to each of the claims as a whole and not to any particularly claimed feature or group of features. Applicants understand that it is the combination of claimed features as a whole in each of the allowed claims that have been found to be statutory, enabled, definite, novel, and non-obvious.

Applicants further understand that each dependent claim, even if not specifically addressed in the *Reasons for Allowance*, has been found to include a combination

Attorney Docket No: IDF 1560 (4000-03400)

Patent

features such that each dependent claim is neither anticipated nor obvious over the art of record, and the test for patentability has been properly applied to each allowed dependent claim. Thus, the patentability of the dependent claims is not based solely on their dependency on an allowed independent claim. In other words, each of the claims sets forth Applicants' contribution with particularity, and each claim is allowable in light of the complete language of the claim, as well as equivalents.

Should the Examiner disagree with any of the foregoing comments, they are encouraged to contact the undersigned at their earliest convenience. Without receiving any further communication from the Examiner, Applicants will understand that the Examiner is in agreement with the comments set forth above.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any further fees associated with any of the foregoing papers submitted herewith, or to credit any overpayment thereof, to Deposit Account No. 21-0765, Sprint.

Date:

Respectfully submitted,

Steven J. Funk

Reg. No. 35.87

Sprint Nextel Legal Dept. (Intellectual Property)

913-315-9274

steven.j.funk@sprint.com



MADEMPA pplicants: Kenneth Charles Boydstun et al. §

Serial No.: 10/075,336

Filed: February 13, 2002

For: METHOD AND SOFTWARE FOR MIGRATING §

PROTECTED AUTHENTICATION DATA

Mail Stop: Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Group Art Unit: 3685

Examiner: Sherr, Cristina O.

Confirmation No.: 8714

## <u>COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE</u>

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated May 19, 2011 in the aboveidentified patent application, Applicants respectfully submit the following comments on the reasons for allowance.

Applicants agree that none of the art of record, either alone or in combination, teaches, suggests, discloses or makes obvious any of the allowed claims. Although certain features of the pending claims were discussed on 3 of the *Reasons for Allowance*, Applicants note that other features of the claims may further distinguish the claims over the art of record. Applicants understand that the *Reasons for Allowance* and the conclusion of allowability of the claims relate to each of the claims as a whole and not to any particularly claimed feature or group of features. Applicants understand that it is the combination of claimed features as a whole in each of the allowed claims that have been found to be statutory, enabled, definite, novel, and non-obvious.

Applicants further understand that each dependent claim, even if not specifically addressed in the *Reasons for Allowance*, has been found to include a combination

features such that each dependent claim is neither anticipated nor obvious over the art of record, and the test for patentability has been properly applied to each allowed dependent claim. Thus, the patentability of the dependent claims is not based solely on their dependency on an allowed independent claim. In other words, each of the claims sets forth Applicants' contribution with particularity, and each claim is allowable in light of the complete language of the claim, as well as equivalents.

Should the Examiner disagree with any of the foregoing comments, they are encouraged to contact the undersigned at their earliest convenience. Without receiving any further communication from the Examiner, Applicants will understand that the Examiner is in agreement with the comments set forth above.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any further fees associated with any of the foregoing papers submitted herewith, or to credit any overpayment thereof, to Deposit Account No. 21-0765, Sprint.

Data:

Steven J. Funk

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 35,87

Sprint Nextel Legal Dept. (Intellectual Property)

913-315-9274

steven.j.funk@sprint.com